THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAL): (a) Atotal of 187, 201 and 165 cases of rape were reported in Mumbai during 2004 to 2006, thereby showing a mixed trend. Annual figures in respect of 2007 are yet to be compiled.

As per report furnished by Mumbai Police such incidents have occured recently.

Mumbai Police have reported that the main reasons for the attack on women in Mumbai are unawareness of self rights and law, inadequate education, importation of pornographic material, delay in filing F.I.R. crime going unpunished due to various reasons, socio-economic reasons such as unemployment, illiteracy, low income, child marriage, men having regressive attitude towards women and women having lower status in the society, revenge, close relatives taking undue advantage, and gender bias.

The Ministry of Home Affairs has from time to time issued advisories to the State Governments to give more focused attention on improving the administration of the criminal justice system and take such measures as necessary for the prevention of crime against women. 'Police' and 'Public Order' are however State subjects under the Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India, hence the piimary responsibility of prevention, detection, registration, investigation and prosecution of crime lies with the State Governments. The Union Government supplements the efforts of the State Government by providing financial assistance for weaponary, communication, equipment, mobility, training and other Infrastructure for the State police force under the scheme for 'Modernization of State Police Forces'.

Non-Compliance of SC directives by States on Police Reforms

3926. SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Supreme Court in the month of December, 2007, after being informed that barring a few small States, none has acted on its directive for bringing in police reforms, directed all the state Governments to file status report regarding the compliance of its direction in the issue;

if so, whether State Governments have already filed status report on police reforms; and

if so, how many of them have so far implemented the directives of Supreme Court; and

what action Government proposes to take against those States who have not reported status on police reforms to Supreme Court?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAl): (a) Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated 14.12.2007 passed in Writ Petition (Civil) No 310/96-Prakash Singh and Others Vs Union of India and Others has directed all the States to file the status report within the stipulated time period.

(b) to (d) Supreme Court in its judgment dated 22.9.2006 passed earlier in the abvoe case, gave several directions for compliance by the States till the framing of the appropriate legislations. As per information available, the States of Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, Punjab, Rajasthen and Tripura have enacted legislation in this

regard. Various States have filed their replies directly to Supreme Court and it is not feasible for the Government to comment on the status of compliance by the State Governments on which a view in this would be taken by Supreme Court, However, in respect of Union Territories, the responsibility for action lies with the Central Government and substantial compliance has already been done. The position varies widely in respect of the various Union Territories because of their unique characteristics in terms of the legal, administrative, demographic and geographical situations specific to each Union Territory. An application has also been filed in Supreme Court on 12.2.2007 seeking directions by way of clarification/ modification of certain directions, with reference to Union Territories.

Banned organization in the country

†3927. SHRI SHREEGOPAL WAS: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

the names of the organizations banned in the country;

the locations of their centres, State-wise;

the names of the organizations out of them which have been found to have relations with foreign countries;

the number of persons of each banned organization arrested till date;

the number of persons escaped from among those arrested;

whether some escaped persons have gone to other countries; and

if so, the names of such countries?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAL): (a) to (c) A list of groups declared as terrorist organizations under section 2(1)(m) and section 35 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 as amended in 2004 is enclosed as Statement. *(See* below) As per available information, a number of these terrorist Organizations have their camps in neighbouring countries/have external linkages and have been involved in acts of terror and violence in different parts of the country.

(d) As per available information, the number of terrorists/extremists/naxals/members of banned organizations, arrested in the State of Jammu and Kashmir, Northn Eastern States and naxal affected States, during the year 2006 and 2007 are as under:

State/Region	2006	2007
Jammu & Kashmir	429	400
North Eastern States	1406	1837
Naxal affected States	1270	1448

Besides, as per available information, during this period, more than 250 terrorists/members of banned organizations are reported to have been arrested in the hinterland.

(e) to (g) Specific details of each such case is not centrally maintained.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi